

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/053,651		CHANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dov Popovici		2625	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Dov Popovici.

(2) Mark M. Meininger (Reg. No. 32,428).

**Date of Interview:** 5 March 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*24, 32, 35, 38, 41, 46, 51 and 59.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Dov Popovici/*  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

**Status of Application:** Non Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12:48 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed to. Claims 24, 32, 35, 38, 41, 46, 51 and 59 are amended for clarity pupose and in order to better clarify the claims over 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph and/or claim objections (see the attached examiner's amendment for specific detail).